1	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Y	$r_{\mathbf{U}}$
2	Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640	SUPSRIOR CONTINUES AND STATE OF THE STATE OF
3	1875 Century Park East, 7 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133	FID 15 cms
4	SANGER & SWYSEN	GARY IN BLOW FOR
5	Attorneys at Law Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214	CARRIE L. Washing Committee
6	233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101	County Clair
7	Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311	
8	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar No. 072172	
9	14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670	
10	Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298	
11	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	:·
12	WICHALL JOSLI II JACKSON	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14		A BARBARA, COOK DIVISION
15	TOR THE COUNTY OF SHAPE	1 Di Medi Mari, Good Mari Maria
16	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. 1133603
17	CALIFORNIA,	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN
18	Plaintiffs,	ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO
19	vs.	EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT BE FILED UNDER
20	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,	SEAL
21	Defendant.	Honorable Rodney S. Melville
22)	Date: February 22, 2005 Time: 9:30 a.m .
23		Dept: SM 8
24		
25	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE EN	TITLED COURT:
26	Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled EX	
27	PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN	
28	EV DADTE ADDITCATION FOR AN ORDER THAT BEST	PONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO
		OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT BE FILED UNDER SEAL

1	LIMINE MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT and
2	accompanying documents be filed under seal and for such other such further relief as the Court may
3	deem just and proper. This request is based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to
4	due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
5	Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.
6	Dated: February 15, 2005
7	Respectfully submitted,
8	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu
10	SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger
11	OXMA N -& JAROSCAK
12	Brian Oxman
14	By: \\. \(\langle \)
15	Robert M. Sanger Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON
16	WICH IEL JOSE II JACKSON
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	·

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT BE FILED UNDER SEAL

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
 - 2. The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed:
 - 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

(California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

II.

OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

An inspection of the exhibits will reveal that they disclose the testimony of witnesses or potential witnesses and disclose possible evidence, the admissibility of which, is yet to be determined.

The overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the exhibits are not filed under seal. A person accused of a crime is entitled to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT BE FILED UNDER SEAL

1 States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. Material 2 contained the exhibits pertains to evidence and the testimony of witnesses that, if made public, would compromise Mr. Jackson's these rights and would result in prejudice to Mr. Jackson. In 3 4 order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the exhibits be filed under seal. 5 **CONCLUSION** 6 For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that EX 7 PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT and 8 9 accompanying documents be filed under seal. 10 Dated: February 15, 2005 11 COLLINS. MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu 12 **SANGER & SWYSEN** 13 Robert M. Sanger 14 OXMAN¡& JAROSCAK 15 Brian Oxman 16 17 Robert M. Sanger Attorneys for MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT BE FILED UNDER SEAL

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert Sanger, declare:

- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California, a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael Jackson.
- 2. It is necessary that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled a EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT and accompanying documents, be filed under seal in order to protect the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial, as well as to prevent the disclosure of witnesses, potential witnesses and potential evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 15th day of February, 2005 at Santa Maria, California.

Robert M. Sanger

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 301 East Cook Street, Suite A, Santa Maria, California 93454.

On February 15, 2005, I served the foregoing document EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED SEXUAL CONDUCT BE FILED UNDER SEAL, on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Theordore J. Boutrous Jr. William E. Thomson Michael H. Dore 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 91171 Fax - 213-229-7520 Tom Sneddon Gerald Franklin Ron Zonen Gordon Auchincloss District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Fax - 805-568-2398

	BY U.S. MAIL - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit.
<u>X</u>	BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties at the above-referenced numbers.
	BY HAND - I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above.
<u>X</u>	STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
	FEDERAL - I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.
	Executed February 15, 2005, at Santa Maria, California.